

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

MARC VEASEY, JANE HAMILTON, SERGIO)
DELEON, FLOYD J. CARRIER, ANNA BURNS,)
MICHAEL MONTEZ, PENNY POPE, OSCAR)
ORTIZ, KOBY OZIAS, JOHN MELLOR-)
CRUMLEY, PEGGY HERMAN, EVELYN)
BRICKNER, GORDON BENJAMIN, KEN)
GANDY, LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN)
AMERICAN CITIZENS (LULAC), AND DALLAS)
COUNTY, TEXAS,)

Plaintiffs,

v.

RICK PERRY, Governor of Texas; and JOHN)
STEEN, Texas Secretary of State,)

Defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Plaintiffs,

TEXAS LEAGUE OF YOUNG VOTERS)
EDUCATION FUND, IMANI CLARK, AURICA)
WASHINGTON, CRYSTAL OWENS, AND)
MICHELLE BESSIAKE,)

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF HISPANIC COUNTY)
JUDGES AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,)
HIDALGO COUNTY, AND MARIA LONGORIA)
BENAVIDES,)

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, JOHN STEEN, in his official)
capacity as Texas Secretary of State; and STEVE)
McCRAW, in his official capacity as Director of the)
Texas Department of Public Safety,)

Defendants.

TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP)
BRANCHES; and the MEXICAN AMERICAN)
LEGISLATIVE CAUCUS OF THE TEXAS)

) CIVIL ACTION NO.

) 2:13-CV-193 (NGR)

) [Lead case]

) CIVIL ACTION NO.

) 2:13-CV-263 (NGR)

) [Consolidated case]

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,)	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>)	
v.)	
)	
JOHN STEEN, in his official capacity as Secretary)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
of State of Texas; and STEVE McCRAW, in his)	2:13-CV-291 (NGR)
official capacity as Director of the Texas Department)	[Consolidated case]
of Public Safety,)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	
)	
_____)	
BELINDA ORTIZ, LENARD TAYLOR,)	
EULALIO MENDEZ JR., LIONEL)	
ESTRADA; ESTELA GARCIA ESPINOSA,)	
ROXANNE HERNANDEZ, LYDIA LARA,)	
MARGARITO MARTINEZ LARA,)	
MAXIMINA MARTINEZ LARA, AND)	
LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, INC.)	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>)	
v.)	
)	
STATE OF TEXAS; JOHN STEEN, in his)	
Official capacity as Texas Secretary of State;)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
And STEVE McCRAW, in his official capacity)	2:13-CV-348 (NGR)
As Director of the Texas Department of)	[Consolidated case]
Public Safety,)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	
)	
_____)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendants' Motion for Leave to file Sur-Reply Memorandum in Opposition to Joint Motion to Modify Scheduling Order (ECF No. 196), the Defendants' Motion is GRANTED. The Defendants may file a sur-reply memorandum.

SO ORDERED.

Date: _____, 2014.

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
United States District Judge